

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/555,275	08/30/2001	John David Bentley	50179-081	9040
75	7590 03/31/2004		EXAMINER	
McDermott Will & Emery			ALLEN, MARIANNE P	
600 13th Street NW Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/555,275	BENTLEY ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Marianne P. Allen	1631

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CER 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	iely filed Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mai ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF 706.07(f).	iling date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 Cfee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding ar fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for rep (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the matimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	mount of the fee. The appropriate extension by originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissale	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search	n (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by ma issues for appeal; and/or	aterially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number o	of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	separate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been conapplication in condition for allowance because:	nsidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLEL raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	Y to issues which were newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be	r b) will be entered and an elow or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 21, 23, 24, 26, 30-32, 34-39</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved b	by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s))
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	Meranne Raller
	Marianne P. Allen Marianne P. Allen Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 1631

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments substantively amend the claims and would require further consideration and search with respect to the rejections of record.

Continuation of 10. Other: All rejections are maintained for reasons of record. In particular, the new matter rejections is maintained because while the specification discloses inhibition and use of antagonists, it does not support the broader concept of modulation which embraces activation and agonists. In particular, the 112, 2nd paragraph rejections are maintained because the specification does not provide the definitions applicant is arguing and the record does not reflect that "natural ligand" and "equivalent three-dimensional structure" have art understood meanings as argued by applicant.